# **Exhibit F**

## **Exhibit F**

### **EXHIBIT A**

	Cassazets 104-04053-105RS D066001981622-01	File(1) 97/87/45 Pagage 812f 15
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NATE A. GARHART (S.B. # 196872) (nate@cobaltlaw.com) VIJAY K. TOKE (S.B. # 215079) (vijay@cobaltlaw.com) AMANDA R. CONLEY (S.B. #281270) (amanda@cobaltlaw.com)  COBALT LLP 918 Parker St., Bldg. A21 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 841-9800 Facsimile: (510) 295-2401  Attorneys for Plaintiff THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC	
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC, a New York Limited Liability Corporation,	Case No. 3:14-cv-01342-RS
14	Plaintiff,	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
15	V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
16	MASTERCARD INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	
17 18	a Delaware corporation; VIRTUOSO, LTD., a Delaware corporation; VISA INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1-100,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		THE WAVE CTUDIO ALC
		THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Wave Studio, LLC ("Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, Cobalt LLP, for its second amended complaint against MasterCard International, Incorporated ("MasterCard"), Virtuoso, Ltd. ("Virtuoso"), Visa Inc. ("Visa"), and Does 1-100 (collectively with MasterCard, Virtuoso, and Visa, "Defendants") alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THIS ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff is the copyright owner of photographic works created by one of its members,
  Junior Lee. Ms. Lee is a Malaysian photographer who uses her pictures to create distinctive
  marketing and promotional materials for prominent hotels and renowned travel organizations
  worldwide. This is an action for copyright infringement arising out of Defendants' unauthorized
  reproduction, display, distribution, publication and utilization of several of Plaintiff's photographs
  in connection with Defendants' promotion and sale of hotel and travel bookings on a website
  accessed across the United States.
- 2. Ms. Lee is commissioned either by hotel management and promotional agencies, or by hotel and travel locations directly, to photograph elite hotel properties and destination locales and to create marketing and promotional materials featuring her photographs. However, per the express terms of her contract with such agencies, Plaintiff retains sole and exclusive ownership of all right, title and interest in and to the underlying photos, as well as the sole and exclusive right to license, distribute, and use the photographs for any and all other purposes.
- 3. As set forth in more detail below, Defendants have committed blatant acts of copyright infringement by improperly using Plaintiff's photographs—without authorization—for their own financial gain, including but not limited to, using Plaintiff's photographs in articles, photogalleries, and promotional materials, all of which are generating traffic to and revenue for Defendants and their businesses. By virtue of this action, Plaintiff should be awarded the

appropriate injunctive relief and monetary damages to remedy and redress Defendants' rampant, willful, and continued misuse of Plaintiff's copyrighted photographs.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. This complaint alleges causes of action under the copyright laws of the United States, Title 17 of the United States Code.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because Plaintiff's claims against Defendants arise under Title 17 of the United States Code.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over MasterCard because MasterCard has done and continues to do business in this district, including but not limited to entering into contracts with entities in this district and offering travel packages throughout this district. Defendant MasterCard also maintains a place of business in this district, located at 2999 Oak Road, Suite 1020, Walnut Creek, California 94597.
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Virtuoso because Virtuoso has done and continues to do business in this district, including but not limited to entering into contracts with entities in this district and offering travel packages throughout this district.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Visa because Visa has done and continues to do business in this district, including but not limited to entering into contracts with entities in this district and offering its services throughout this district. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Visa because, though a Delaware corporation, Visa's headquarters and principal place of business are located in this district at 900 Metro Center Boulevard, Foster City, California 90017.
- 9. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(a), venue properly lies in this Court because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred in this judicial district and because

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	
2	7	

Defendants reside or are found within this District within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. Section 1400(a).

#### THE PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff, The Wave Studio, LLC, is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at One Barker Avenue, #542, White Plains, New York 10601. Plaintiff is a business entity operated by photographer Junior Lee, a Malaysian citizen currently domiciled in Singapore. Ms. Lee creates marketing materials for businesses worldwide using her original works, but retains ownership of all rights, title, and interest in and to all artistic works used therein. The Wave Studio, LLC was formed to hold, manage, and control the U.S. intellectual property rights to said works, including, but not limited to, several U.S. copyright registrations.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant MasterCard International, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 2000 Purchase Street, Purchase, New York 10577. Upon information and belief, Defendant MasterCard registers and uses the domain name <a href="https://www.mastercard.com">www.mastercard.com</a>. Upon information and belief, Defendant MasterCard International, Inc. regularly transacts or solicits business in this district through, *inter alia*, a website resolving to <a href="https://www.mastercard.com">www.mastercard.com</a>.
- 12. Upon information and belief, Defendant Virtuoso, Ltd. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 505 Main Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Upon information and belief, Defendant Virtuoso registers and uses the domain name <a href="https://www.virtuoso.com">www.virtuoso.com</a>. Upon information and belief, Defendant Virtuoso regularly transacts or solicits business in this district through, *inter alia*, a website resolving to <a href="https://www.virtuoso.com">www.virtuoso.com</a>.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Visa, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its

principal place of business at 900 Metro Center Boulevard, Foster City, California 90017. Upon information and belief, Defendant Visa, Inc. registers and uses at least the domain names <a href="https://www.visasignaturehotels.com">visasignaturehotels.com</a>, <a href="https://www.visasignaturehotels.com">visasignaturehotels.com</a>, and <a href="https://www.visasignaturehotels.com">visasignaturehotels.com</a>, <a href="https://wwww.visasignaturehotels.com">visasignat

14. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise, of Defendants Does 1 through 100 are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues them by such fictitious names. Plaintiff expects, but is presently without information sufficient to confirm, that one or more of Defendants distributed Plaintiff's photographs to third parties without Plaintiff's authorization and/or otherwise infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright rights to works of art at issue in this case, infringing those copyright rights. At such time as Plaintiff ascertains the identity and nature of any such third parties, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint accordingly. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that each of Does 1 through 100 was the agent, representative, or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting at all times within the scope of his, her, or its agency or representative capacity, and that each of Does 1 through 100 are liable to Plaintiff in connection with the claims sued upon here and are responsible in some manner for the wrongful acts and conduct alleged here.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

15. Over the years, Junior Lee has established a widely successful business in travel and hotel photography worldwide. She has photographed The Setai Miami (USA), The Heritage House Mendocino (USA), The Chedi Milan (Italy), The Leela Goa (India), The Chedi Muscat (Oman), The Nam Hai Hoi An (Vietnam), The Chedi Chiang Mai (Thailand), The Chedi Phuket

(Thailand), The Datai Langkawi (Malaysia), The Andaman Langkawi (Malaysia), The Saujana Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), The Club at The Saujana Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), Carcosa Seri Negara Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), The Legian Seminyak (Bali), The Club at The Legian Seminyak (Bali), The Chedi Club Ubud (Bali), The Serai Club Jimbaran (Bali), The Lalu Sun Moon Lake (Taiwan), Langsuan Apartment Bangkok (Thailand), Seah St. Apartment (Singapore), GHM Boutique Products (Singapore), The Fullerton Hotel (Singapore), La Pari-Pari Hotel Langkawi (Malaysia), and Four Seasons Great Exuma (Bahamas). Her photographs are highly sought after works of art that businesses worldwide routinely display in the course of promoting their facilities, custom, and trade. Specifically, her photographs of The Setai Miami, The Chedi Muscat, The Nam Hai Hoi An, The Chedi Club Ubud, The Chedi Chiang Mai, and The Daetai Langkawi (the "Hotel Photographs") are at issue in this matter.

- 16. Ms. Lee's photography business is promotional in nature. Generally, Ms. Lee is commissioned by her clientele to photograph certain items, personnel, sites, or facilities, modify the images as necessary, and deliver the final product to her clientele for approval. Ms. Lee is usually compensated via the client's purchase of marketing materials that incorporate her photographs. In other words, the client purchases the marketing materials designed and manufactured by Ms. Lee, inclusive of a limited license to distribute the marketing materials created by Ms. Lee. The client does not purchase the underlying photographs.
- 17. Ms. Lee specifically reserves all rights to her works that are not otherwise purchased by her client. One of the rights she reserves is the ownership of the photographs, including the copyright in and to the same.
- 18. Ms. Lee, through various business entities (including, but not limited to, Wave-s, The Wave Pte. Ltd. and The Wave Design Pte. Ltd.) obtained copyright registrations from the United

1

6

8

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

_	′
2	Q
4	O

States Copyright Office for the Hotel Photographs (collectively and individually, "Copyrighted Works"). These copyright registrations are identified in the following chart:

Registration Number	Title of Work
VA 1-432-325	Wave-S photographs 2002
VA 1-432-326	The Wave Design Pte. Ltd. Photographs 2007 (A)
VA 1-432-328	Wave-s Photographs 2003
VA 1-432-329	Wave-s Photographs 2004
VA 1-432-331	The Wave Pte. Ltd. Photographs 2005 (A)
VA 1-432-332	The Wave Design Pte, Ltd. Photographs 2005 (B)
VA 1-758-524	Wave-s Photographs 2004 (B)
VA 1-825-429	Wave-s Photographs 2004 (D)-chediclub141
VAu 1-055-458	The Wave Design Pte. Ltd. Unpublished photographs 2005 (C) setai429 - setai803

- 19. On November 11, 2011, the authors of the Copyrighted Works, by and through Ms. Lee, assigned all right, title and interest in and to the Copyrighted Works to Plaintiff.
- 20. Plaintiff has never assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred any interest in and to the Copyrighted Works to Defendants or otherwise dedicated them to the public.
- 21. Defendants did not seek, nor have they ever sought, Plaintiff or Junior Lee's permission to utilize the Hotel Photographs.
- 22. Plaintiff has never published the photographs covered by Copyright Registration Number VAu 1-055-458 (the "Unpublished Photographs"). On information and belief, Defendant Visa obtained two of the Unpublished Photographs from an unknown source other than Plaintiff. On information and belief, Plaintiff believes that Defendant Visa may have obtained the Unpublished Photographs directly from the property that is the subject of those photographs. Thereafter, Visa published and distributed the Unpublished Photographs without Plaintiff's authorization. Visa did not seek, nor have they ever sought, Plaintiff or Junior Lee's permission to utilize the

1	Unpublished Photographs.				
2	23. Despite having no permission, consent, or license to do so from Plaintiff, Defendants have				
3	used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used and continue to use the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used to the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used to the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used to the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used to the Hotel Photographs and have not compensated Plaintiff for such used to the Hotel Photographs and the Hotel Photographs and the Hotel Photographs are the Hotel Photographs are the Hotel Photographs and the Hotel Photographs are the Hotel Photographs are the Hotel Photographs and the Hotel Photographs are				
5	24. Upon information and belief, the Hotel Photographs that are the subject of the				
6	Copyrighted Works have been seen and continue to be seen by thousands of users on a daily				
7	basis.				
8	25. Upon information and belief, Defendants have utilized the Hotel Photographs for purposes				
9	of trade, including promoting and advertising the bookings to the boutique and high-end hotels				
10	featured in those Works, thus realizing significant revenue, all without Plaintiff's authorization.				
11	26. Upon information and belief, Defendant Virtuoso has distributed copies of the Hotel				
12 13	Photographs to its partners, affiliates, clients, and other third parties, including but not limited to				
14	Defendant MasterCard, for use on their own websites.				
15 16	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.)				
17	(Against all defendants)				
18	27. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation				
19	contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.				
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	28. Defendants have directly infringed Plaintiff's Hotel Photographs that are the subject of the				
22	Copyrighted Works by reproducing, displaying, and/or distributing unauthorized copies of				
23	Plaintiff's photographs in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501 et seq.				
24	29. Defendants copied Plaintiff's entire images for their own personal commercial gain.				
25	Moreover, Defendants' use of the Hotel Photographs is for the exact same purpose as Plaintiff's				
26	intended use: to promote and market hotel properties and destination locations. Thus, there is no				
27					
28					

 ${\sf Case}_{\vec{a}\vec{s}\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{5}\cdot\vec{L}\vec{M}}=0 + 95138425 \\ {\sf RSD} \\ {\sf Doubly mention 2161} \\ {\sf Filled 095/148/145} \\ {\sf Palgage of 0.14f} \\ {\sf 15}$ 

1	added benefit to the public from having Defendants display Plaintiff's Hotel Photographs.		
2	30. Specifically, without authorization or consent, Defendant MasterCard has reproduced,		
3	displayed and/or distributed Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works of Registration Nos. VA 1-432-326;		
4	VA 1-432-328; and VA 1-432-331.		
5	31. Without authorization or consent, Defendant Virtuoso has reproduced, displayed, and/or		
7	distributed Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works of Registration Nos. VA 1-432-325; VA 1-432-328;		
8	VA 1-432-331; and VA 1-432-332.		
9	32. Without authorization or consent, Defendant Visa has reproduced, displayed, and/or		
10	distributed Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works of Registration Nos. VA 1-432-325; VA 1-432-326;		
11	VA 1-432-328; VA 1-432-329; VA 1-432-331; VA 1-432-332; VA 1-758-524; VA 1-825-429;		
12			
13	33. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's rights in and to each of the Copyrighted Works		
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.		
16	34. Upon information and belief, Defendants knew or should have known that their acts		
17	constituted copyright infringement.		
18			
19	35. Defendants' conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act.		
20	36. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' conduct, including, but not limited to,		
21	economic losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no adequate remed		
22	at law to compensate the Plaintiff for all the possible damages stemming from the Defendants'		
23	conduct.		
24	37. Because of the willful nature of the Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to, at its		
25	election, an award of statutory damages for each instance of copyright infringement by		
26	Defendants, in lieu of recovery of exemplary damages, as well as attorneys' fees, and all		
27			

THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 3:14-cv-01342-RS

to, economic losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no adequate

26

27

27

28

////

	Cases 3: 124-04-053-425RS Do6401118h 221-91	Files 05/1	<b>88/1</b> 5 Pa <b>Gags</b> 8412f 15
1	Dated: September 18, 2014		
2	2 a.c.a. 20ponico 10, 2011	COBALT	LLP
3			
4		By /s/ Nate	e A. Garhart/
5		Nate A Vijay I	e A. Garhart/ Garhart K. Toke
6			K. Toke la R. Conley
7		Attorneys: The Wave	for Plaintiff Studio, LLC
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			THE WAVE STUDIO. LLC
		12	THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. 3:14-cv-01342-RS

### Casea 3 e 35.104-040 5 3 d 2 SRS DOGUMANA 22-61 Fille th 0 5 1/4 1/4 5 Pagage 4 5 10 f 15 JURY DEMAND The Wave Studio, LLC respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues triable thereby. Dated: September 18, 2014 COBALT LLP By: /s/ Nate A. Garhart/ Nate A. Garhart Vijay K. Toke Amanda R. Conley Attorneys for Plaintiff The Wave Studio, LLC THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC